

## 8. NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

### 8.1 Introduction

8.1.1 Bureau Veritas has been appointed by Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks (SSEN) Transmission to undertake a noise impact assessment for the proposed substation development at Craig Murrail.

8.1.2 The purpose of this Noise Impact Assessment (NIA) Report is to support the Town and Country Planning and section 37 applications for the Project and identify any noise related impacts associated with the scheme, during construction and once operational.

#### Site Descriptions

8.1.3 The Craig Murrail Project is approximately 2.6 km north east of the A816, north east of Lochgilphead. The site is located in a rural area and currently comprises mainly open grassland.

8.1.4 The nearest residential premises has been identified as a dwelling at Auchoish approximately 1.3 km to the southwest of the site. Other properties are located at a further distance to the southwest (dwellings in Lochgilphead), northwest (dwelling in Achnashelloch), and south (dwellings in Kilmory).

A site location plan is provided in **Annex S**.

#### Development Proposals

8.1.5 Components of the Proposed Development that will be subject to an application for consent under the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 2007 (as amended) are as follows:

- A substation platform in the region of 2.93 ha at a height of 117 m AOD;
- Gas insulated Switchgear (GIS) building, maximum height 22 m and single storey control building annex;
- 275/132 kV super grid transformer (SGT), rated at 480 MVA located in a ventilated building of maximum height 16 m;
- Two gantries and electrical equipment to connect the OHL and the proposed substation;
- Temporary works areas (TWA):
  - One area will be adjacent to the Proposed Development site, of approximately 2.26 ha and
  - Two areas south west of the Proposed Development site, adjacent to the existing access track, of approximately 0.5 and 0.2 ha respectively.
- Diesel generator;
- Borehole for water;
- Turning and parking areas;
- Use of existing forestry access track with some upgrades, approximately 5 km in length;
- Construction of two new permanent access tracks:
  - One of approximately 153 m long, connecting the substation to the existing private forestry tracks adjacent to the Proposed Development site; and
  - One of approximately 191 m, providing access to the TWA and existing Inveraray to Crossaig overhead line (OHL).

- A 2.4 m high security fence of palisade construction around the substation platform perimeter;
- Deer fence around new areas of woodland planting;
- Landscape planting to screen the Proposed Development and provide biodiversity enhancement; and
- Foul and surface water drainage.

8.1.6 In addition, tree felling and compensatory planting will be required.

8.1.7 Components of the Associated Development subject to an application for consent under Section 37 of the Electricity Act 1989 are as follows:

- Construction of two temporary steel lattice towers to support the temporary realignment of the existing overhead line during construction. Post construction, the overhead line will be realigned to its existing alignment and connected into the new substation and the temporary towers will be removed.
- Two downloads from the realigned overhead line into the substation; and
- Two temporary access tracks leading from the existing forestry access track to the temporary towers:
  - One of approximately 51 m in length; and
  - One of approximately 36 m in length.

## 8.2 Legislation and Guidance

### Scope of the Assessment

8.2.1 This assessment considers effects of noise on noise-sensitive receptors (NSRs) due to:

8.2.2 potential construction noise effects (including construction traffic noise) on NSRs in the vicinity of the substation site and the new overhead line (OHL); and the potential effects of noise emissions from the operational substation.

8.2.3 Given the separation distances between the proposed sections of OHL, required to divert the Inveraray to Crossaig 275 kV OHL into and out of the proposed substation, and the nearest noise sensitive receptors, detailed assessment of the potential noise impacts of the new temporary OHL route once operational has been scoped out. Calculations, based on the proposed conductor and tower design, in accordance with TR(T)94 'A Method for Assessing the Community Response to Overhead Line Noise', indicate that during worst case (audible noise induced by rainfall) conditions noise impacts would be negligible beyond 250 m (based on an assumed worst-case background sound level of 20 dB LA90). The nearest noise-sensitive receptor is situated more than 1.3 km from the Project.

8.2.4 Likewise, the potential impacts of vibration during construction and operation are not expected to be significant due to the separation distances. Although localised blasting of the bedrock may be required during the site preparation works, the vibration magnitudes would be well below the threshold criteria for the onset of cosmetic building damage. In the event that blasting vibration may be perceptible at the nearest residential properties, the actual impact would not be significant with the implementation of best practice which would include prior notification of blasting operations.

8.2.5 The scope of the assessment has been informed by the following guidelines/policies:

- Planning Advice Note (PAN) 1/2011 - Planning and Noise<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> The Scottish Government, Planning Advice Note 1/2011: planning and noise, 3 Mar 2011. Reviewed from <https://www.gov.scot/publications/planning-advice-note-1-2011-planning-noise/documents/>

- BS 5228-1: 2009+A1: 2014 – Code of Practice for Noise and Vibration Control on Construction and Open Sites – Part 1: Noise;<sup>2</sup>
- Design Manual for Roads and Bridges - LA 111 Noise and Vibration, Revision 2<sup>3</sup>; and
- BS 4142:2014+A1:2019 - Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound<sup>4</sup>.

### Consultation

- 8.2.6 Consultation was undertaken with the Environmental Health Officer at Argyll & Bute Council (ABC) to agree the survey and assessment methodology to be adopted for this noise impact assessment. This included agreement of the assessment criteria and that baseline noise surveys are required, given the separation distance to the nearest noise sensitive receptor.
- 8.2.7 The construction noise and vibration assessment, as described in more detail below, follows the guidance presented in BS5228:2009+A1:2014 Parts 1: Noise and 2: Vibration.
- 8.2.8 The operational noise assessment criteria are described in more detail below, with the sound rating level of the operational substation being required to not exceed the existing background sound level, in line with British Standard 4142:2014+A1:2019.

### Policy

- 8.2.9 A summary of the relevant planning policy, guidance documents and British Standards is included in the following sections.

#### Planning Advice Note (PAN) 1/2011: 'Planning and Noise'

- 8.2.10 Published in March 2011, this document provides advice on the role of the planning system in helping to prevent and limit adverse effects of noise (Scottish Government, 2011). Information and advice on noise assessment methods are provided in the accompanying Technical Advice Note (TAN): *Assessment of Noise*. Included within the PAN document and the accompanying TAN are details of the legislation, technical standards and codes of practice for specific noise issues.
- 8.2.11 Of relevance to the assessment of development generated road traffic noise, it is stated that a change of 3 dB(A) is the minimum perceptible under normal conditions, and that a change of 10 dB(A) corresponds roughly to a halving or doubling of the perceived loudness of a sound.
- 8.2.12 Neither PAN 1/2011 nor the associated TAN provide specific guidance on the assessment of noise from fixed plant, but the TAN includes an example assessment scenario for 'New noisy development (incl. commercial and recreation) affecting a noise sensitive building', which is based on BS 4142:1997: *Method for rating industrial noise*

---

<sup>2</sup> BSI Standards Publication, BS 5228-1:2009+A1:2014: Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open sites – Part 1: Noise, December 2008.

<sup>3</sup> The Highway Agency, Transport Scotland, Welsh Government and the Department for Infrastructure, Design Manual for Roads and Bridges - LA 111 Noise and Vibration, Revision 2, May 2020. Reviewed from <https://www.standardsforhighways.co.uk/prod/attachments/cc8cfcf7-c235-4052-8d32-d5398796b364?inline=true>

<sup>4</sup> BSI Standards Publication, BS 4142:2014+A1:2019 - Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound, October 2014.

affecting mixed residential and industrial areas. This British Standard has been replaced with BS 4142:2014+A1:2019: *Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound*.

*British Standard 5228-1: 2009+A1: 2014 – Code of Practice for Noise and Vibration Control on Construction and Open Sites – Part 1: Noise.*

8.2.13 BS5228 details the “ABC Method for construction noise assessment”<sup>5</sup>, which is shown in **Table 8.1**

**Table 8.1 – Construction Noise Impact Assessment Criteria Assessment**

Assessment category and threshold value period	Threshold value, $L_{Aeq}$ (dB)		
	Category A	Category B	Category C
Night-time	45	50	55
Evenings and weekends	55	60	65
Daytime and Saturdays	65	70	75

- Night-time is considered between 23:00 and 07:00. Evenings and weekends are considered to be 19:00 – 23:00 on weekdays, 13:00 – 23:00 on Saturdays and 07:00 – 23:00 on Sundays. Daytime is considered to be 07:00 – 19:00 on weekdays and Saturdays 07:00 – 13:00.
- The NSR is defined as Category A if the ambient noise levels (rounded to the nearest 5 dB) are less than those stated for category A.
- The NSR is defined as Category B if the ambient noise levels (rounded to the nearest 5 dB) are equal to those stated for category A.
- The NSR is defined as Category C if the ambient noise levels (rounded to the nearest 5 dB) are greater than those stated for category A.

8.2.14 For the purpose of this assessment, noise levels are assessed with reference to the 5 dB(A) Change method presented in Annex E of BS 5228-1. Subject to lower cut-off values of 65 dB, 55 dB and 45 dB  $L_{Aeq, T}$  from site noise alone, for the daytime, evening and night-time periods, respectively, any increase over 5 dB is deemed as

<sup>5</sup> BSI Standards Publication, BS 5228-1:2009+A1:2014: Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open sites – Part 1: Noise, December 2008, pp119-120.

medium or high magnitude of change (depending on the excess), while under 5 dB change is deemed to be a low or negligible magnitude of change (depending on the margin).

*Design Manual for Roads and Bridges - LA 111 Noise and Vibration, Revision 2*

8.2.15 The Design Manual for Road and Bridges (DMRB) LA 111 'Noise and Vibration' 2020 provides a method of evaluating both the immediate and long-term impact of abrupt changes in the 18-hour traffic flow (06:00-24:00) in terms of the effects on people and, principally, occupiers of residential property.

8.2.16 Individuals vary widely in their response to traffic noise, although the average or community response from a large number of people to the same level of traffic noise is fairly stable.

8.2.17 Consequently, a community average degree of annoyance can be related to the  $L_{10,18h}$  traffic noise level. The annoyance caused by the existing traffic noise and the predicted future traffic noise is calculated, enabling the increase, or decrease in the percentage of people likely to be annoyed to be determined.

8.2.18 DMRB requires that an assessment is undertaken where an increase in a road traffic flow of 25% or greater is predicted (equivalent to an increase or decrease in road traffic noise of approximately 1 dB(A)). This implies that road traffic flow increases of up to 25% offer no significant impact in environmental noise terms.

*British Standard 4142: 2014+A1:2019: Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound (BS 4142)*

8.2.19 British Standard 4142 describes methods for rating and assessing the following:

- Sound from industrial and manufacturing processes
- Sound from fixed installations which comprise mechanical and electrical plant and equipment
- Sound from the loading and unloading of goods and materials at industrial and/or commercial premises
- Sound from mobile plant and vehicles that is an intrinsic part of the overall sound emanating from premises or processes, such as that from forklift trucks, or that from train movements on or around an industrial and/or commercial site

8.2.20 The methods use outdoor sound levels to assess the likely effects of sound on people who might be inside or outside a dwelling or premises used for residential purposes upon which sound is incident.

8.2.21 In accordance with the assessment methodology, the specific sound level ( $L_{Aeq,T}$ ) of the noise source being assessed is corrected, by the application corrections for acoustic features, such as tonal qualities and/or distinct

impulses, to give a "rating level" ( $L_{A,r,Tr}$ ). The British Standard effectively compares and rates the difference between the rating level and the typical background sound level ( $L_{A90,T}$ ) in the absence of the noise source being assessed.

8.2.22 The British Standard advises that the time interval ('T') of the background sound measurement should be sufficient to obtain a representative or typical value of the background sound level at the time(s) when the noise source in question is likely to operate or is proposed to operate in the future.

8.2.23 Comparing the rating level with the background sound level, BS 4142 states:

*"Typically, the greater this difference, the greater the magnitude of impact.*

*A difference of around +10 dB or more is likely to be an indication of a significant adverse impact, depending on the context.*

*A difference of around +5 dB is likely to be an indication of an adverse impact, depending on the context.*

*The lower the rating level is relative to the measured background sound level, the less likely it is that the specific sound source will have an adverse impact or a significant adverse impact. Where the rating level does not exceed the background sound level, this is an indication of the specific sound source having a low impact, depending on the context."*

8.2.24 In this assessment consideration has been given to both BS 4142 and BS 8233, in order to ensure that the development proposals are considered in context of the prevailing noise environment.

#### Criteria for Assessing Sensitivity of Receptors

8.2.25 The guidance contained within Technical Advice Note to PAN 1/2011 has been drawn upon in the generation of an appropriate set of receptor sensitivity criteria. These criteria are presented in **Table 8.2** and have been applied for the construction and operational phases of the proposed development.

**Table 8.2 – Construction Noise Impact Assessment Criteria Assessment**

Sensitivity	Description	Examples
High	Receptors where people or operations are particularly susceptible to noise and/or vibration.	Residential, quiet outdoor recreational areas, schools and hospitals.
Medium	Receptors moderately sensitive to noise and/or vibration, where it may cause some distraction or disturbance.	Residential, quiet outdoor recreational areas, schools and hospitals
Low	Receptors where distraction or disturbance from noise and/or vibration is minimal.	Unoccupied buildings or factories and working environments with existing levels of noise.
Negligible	Receptors where noise would have a negligible impact.	Areas which are not considered to be noise sensitive e.g., vacant land.

### Criteria for Assessing Magnitude of Change

8.2.26 In accordance with relevant technical guidance above, the potential impact during the proposed construction and operation phases have been assessed using the following criteria:

#### Construction Phase - Site Works

- High: Predicted short-term (<8 weeks) construction noise level more than 75 dB  $L_{Aeq,1hour}$  at a residential property.
- Medium: Predicted short-term (<8 weeks) construction noise level more than or equal to 65 dB  $L_{Aeq,1hour}$  and less than 75 dB  $L_{Aeq,1hour}$  at a residential property.
- Low: Predicted short-term (<8 weeks) construction noise level more than or equal to 60 dB  $L_{Aeq,1hour}$  and less than 65 dB  $L_{Aeq,1hour}$  at a residential property.
- Negligible: Predicted short-term (<8 weeks) construction noise level less than 60 dB  $L_{Aeq,1hour}$  at a residential property.

#### Construction Phase - Offsite Road Traffic

- High: Increase in local road traffic noise of more than 5 dB(A)
- Medium: Increase in local road traffic noise of 3 - 4.9 dB(A)
- Low: Increase in local road traffic noise of 1 - 2.9 dB(A)
- Negligible: Increase in local road traffic noise of less than 1 dB(A)

#### Operation Phase

- High: Predicted operational rating noise level +10 dB or greater above the existing background  $L_{A90}$  level.
- Medium: Predicted operational rating noise level +5 to +9 dB above the existing background  $L_{A90}$  level.
- Low: Predicted operational rating noise level +2 to +4 dB above the existing background  $L_{A90}$  level.
- Negligible: Predicted operational rating noise level less than 2 dB above the existing background  $L_{A90}$  level.

8.2.27 The assessment has been undertaken using 'worst-case' noise levels to predict the potential 'worst-case' noise impact on the principal receptors in the area.

### Significance Criteria

8.2.28 The significance of effect has been determined with consideration to both the receptor sensitivity and the magnitude of change according to the matrix detailed in **Table 8.3** drawing on the guidance within TAN 1/2011.

**Table 8.3 – Significance of Effect Matrix**

Magnitude of Change	Receptor Sensitivity			
	High	Medium	Low	Negligible
<b>High</b>	Major	Moderate	Minor	Negligible
<b>Medium</b>	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Negligible
<b>Low</b>	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
<b>Negligible</b>	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible

8.2.29 Effects have been categorised as either ‘adverse’ (e.g., noise level increases) or ‘beneficial’ (e.g., noise level decreases).

8.2.30 The residual effects are assessed for individual NSRs as follows:

- negligible and minor effects are considered to be ‘not significant’; and
- moderate and major effects are considered to be ‘significant’.



### 8.3 Baseline Situation

8.3.1 The proposed Craig Murrail substation location is more than 1.3 km ENE of the nearest NSR (dwelling at Auchoish), and the predicted construction and operational noise levels are well below the thresholds of Negligible impact. Therefore, no background noise survey has been conducted.

### 8.4 Noise emission data

#### Construction Noise

8.4.1 The sources presented in **Table 8.5** have been used to determine static construction noise levels. To ensure a worst-case assessment, it has been assumed that all phases of works will take place simultaneously.

**Table 8.5 – Static Construction Activities and Associated Noise Levels**

Activity	Plant Item	No.	BS 5228 reference	Utilisation %	L <sub>Aeq</sub> at 10 m (dB)
Forestry	Harvester	1	Manufacturer supplied	5%	86
	Forwarder	1	Manufacturer supplied	50%	87
	Petrol Driven Chainsaw	1	Table D2 No. 14	80%	83
	Wheeled loader	1	Table C2 No. 27	20%	80
	Lorry	1	Table C2 No. 34	100%	80
Site Preparation	Tracked Excavator	2	Table C2 No. 3	50%	78
	Dozer	3	Table C2 No. 1	50%	75
Topsoil Strip	Tracked Excavator	2	Table C2 No. 3	50%	78
	Dozer	3	Table C2 No. 1	50%	75
Access Road	Wheeled Backhoe	1	Table C2 No. 8	50%	68
	Dumper	2	Table C4 No. 7	50%	78
	Vibratory Roller	1	Table C2 No. 40	50%	73
Tower Construction	Excavator	1	Table C2 No. 14	50%	79
	Steel Tube Piling Rig	1	Table C3 No. 8	50%	88
	Concrete Pump	1	Table C3 No. 25	50%	78
	Crane	1	Table C3 No. 29	40%	70
	Rock breaker	1	Table C9 No. 12	50%	85

Tensioning	Winder	1	Manufacturer supplied	60%	77
	Rear Winder	1	Manufacturer supplied	60%	77

### Construction Traffic Noise

8.4.2 Estimated traffic data for substation construction has been provided by the Transport team of Arcus Consulting, as shown in **Table 8.6** below. The Proposed Development will be constructed over a 30-month period. Forestry felling works are likely to commence several months in advance of substation earthworks / construction and have therefore been included within this assessment.

8.4.3 The estimated number of vehicle movements during construction, including both light and heavy vehicles.

**Table 4.6 – Estimated No. of Vehicle movements during Construction**

Construction Task	Vehicle Type	Approximate No. of Loads
<b>HGV</b>		
Forestry Delivery & Operations	100 timber lorries; 8 low loaders; and 20 fuel lorries	128
Earthworks Substation Platform	20T Tipper lorry	2,400
Earthworks Site Compound	20T Tipper lorry	600
Concrete for all work	Concrete wagon (6m <sup>3</sup> carry capacity)	504
Building & External civils deliveries (steelwork, cladding, drainage, fencing etc)	HGV Trailer	135
Electrical equipment deliveries	HGV Trailer	300
Transformer delivery	Abnormal indivisible load	2
<b>Car/ Light Goods Vehicle (LGV)</b>		
Personnel to and from the Proposed Development site	Car/ LGV	31,680
<b>Total No. of HGVs and LGVs (Two-way trips)</b>		<b>35,621</b>

8.4.4 **Annex S** presents the one-way movements of the baseline traffic data for the A816 in 2019. For the purpose of the assessment, impacts are presented for HGVs and light good vehicles (LGVs). The following number of two-way baseline traffic movements are assumed i.e., half the number of one-way movements:

- HGVs: 142 two-way movements, comprising of HGV movements only; and
- LGVs: 2,235 two-way movements, comprising of two wheeled motor vehicles, cars and taxis, buses and coaches and light goods vehicles.

- 8.4.5 As shown in **Table B.2** (see **Annex S**), the monthly maximum two-way HGV movements during construction is 800 per month for a period of three months during earthworks. This equates to approximately 36 HGV movements per day (based on 22 working days per month).
- 8.4.6 This represents a 25% increase in the average number of HGVs on the A816 per day for the three months, which have the monthly highest traffic volume increase.
- 8.4.7 In terms of car and LGV movements construction will result in approximately 1,320 movements each month, assuming an even distribution across the construction period. This would result in approximately 51 two-way movements per day (assuming 26 working days per month), resulting in a 2.3% increase in the number of cars/LGVs on the A816.
- 8.4.8 Considering HGV and cars/LGVs combined the maximum number of monthly two-way movements is approximately 2,120 during months 7, 8 and 9 which equates to 96 two-way movements per day (assumes 22 working days per month). This represents a 4% daily increase in total vehicle movements on the A816 during months 7, 8 and 9 of construction.
- 8.4.9 The Associated Development will be constructed in a single-phase delivery, lasting 3 months in duration between months 13 and 14 of the Project's overall construction programme. The estimated number of vehicle movements during construction, including both light and heavy vehicles is summarised in **Table B.3 Annex S**. Additional car/LGV two-way movements are 8.5 per day and represent an additional 0.4% increase, 2.9% increase in total (assumes 26 working days per month).
- 8.4.10 As stated above, DMRB requires that an assessment is undertaken where an increase in a road traffic flow of 25% or greater is predicted (equivalent to an increase or decrease in road traffic noise of approximately 1 dB(A)). This implies that road traffic flow increases of up to 25% offer no significant impact in environmental noise terms.
- 8.4.11 Construction traffic noise is therefore assessed as no significant and scoped out of further assessment.

### Construction Vibration

- 8.4.12 Ground borne and airborne vibration should be considered in relation to site preparation, piling and construction activities (e.g., rock breaking). Due to complex relationship between the source of vibration, forcing frequency, the distance and geological characteristics between source and receiver and the construction of the receiving structure, it is very difficult to predict the degree of vibration which may occur.
- 8.4.13 However, the level of vibration required to cause structural damage is very high and unlikely to be reached in the construction of the Development. Most construction activities are not significant sources of ground borne vibration. Activities, such as earth-working and vibratory compaction produce relatively low levels of ground borne vibration. Piling activities can produce perceptible levels of vibration, and adverse effects of vibration would be expected only within approximately 10 m of such works.
- 8.4.14 The nearest residential receptor lies approximately 1.3 km from the Project. Therefore, construction vibration activities at the nearest receptor will not be perceptible (negligible adverse impact).

### Operational Substation Noise

- 8.4.15 Detail of the fixed plant items associated with the proposed substation development has been provided by SSEN Transmission. **8.7** below details the specific plant items and their noise emission levels based on the measured data in Bureau Veritas library. Details of assumed sound spectrum of the plant items are provided in **Annex S**.

**Table 8.7 – Noise emission data for proposed plant items, L<sub>w</sub> (dB)**

Plant Item	Source	Assumed Sound Power Level, dBA L <sub>w</sub>
2no. 120 MVA 275/33 kV Supergrid transformers	SSEN Transmission Specification SP-NET-SST504	69

8.4.16 The above noise emission data was used in a detailed noise model of the site to predict the noise levels from the proposed substation at the nearest noise sensitive receptors. The following section details the modelling methodology and the assessment work undertaken.

## 8.5 Noise modelling

8.5.1 Noise emissions from the substation were based on specification and library sound power levels as detailed in **Table 4.3** above. The sound emission of the transformers was modelled as area sources. The height of the transformers was assumed to be 3.9 m.

8.5.2 A computational noise model of the site and surrounding area has been created using the CadnaA noise prediction software (Version 2021), which considered geometric spreading, topography, screening, ideal meteorological conditions and detailed information regarding the sources of noise. Noise propagation is calculated in accordance with ISO 9613-2: 1996<sup>6</sup>.

8.5.3 The following assumptions were used in the model:

- All sound propagation assumes 10°C and 70% relative humidity.
- A ground absorption value of 0.7 was used for areas outside of the substation, with the exception of roads which were set to 0.0 (hard). Areas within the substation were assumed to be hard ground.

8.5.4 The sound sources are modelled as per the data shown in **Section 4.3**. Since the sources will be located internally, a 10 dB insertion loss is assumed, associated with the steel-frame structure. This value is an estimate based on experience of similar structures.

8.5.5 The results of the modelling are shown graphically in **Annex S**.

## 8.6 Assessment

### Construction Noise

8.6.1 The static noise level at the nearest receptor has been calculated based on the distance between that receptor and the Substation site or the closest tower. Propagation has been modelled over soft ground, given the dominance of soft ground in the area surrounding the Project. The effects of barriers or topographical screening has not been considered. **Table 8.8** below shows the results of construction noise calculation results at the nearest receptor.

<sup>6</sup> ISO 9613-2: 1996, Acoustics – Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors – Part 2: General method of calculation, International Organization for Standardization, 1996

**Table 8.8 – Construction Noise Levels of activities at the nearest receptor**

Activity	Noise Level L <sub>Aeq</sub> (dB)
Forestry	48
Site Preparation	38
Topsoil Strip	38
Access Road	38
Tower construction	48
Tensioning	32
<b>Total construction noise level</b>	<b>52</b>

- 8.6.2 As mentioned above, noise levels are assessed with reference to the 5 dB(A) change method, and subject to lower cut-off levels, as presented in Annex E of BS 5228-1. As the worst-case construction noise level is below the lower threshold of 65 dB day and 55 dB evening, the potential impact to the nearest NSR is negligible during these periods.
- 8.6.3 As such, no noise mitigation is required for the construction activities. However, in any case, any mitigation required for noise generated by rock breaking will be agreed with Argyll and Bute Council, for inclusion in the Construction Noise Management Plan.
- 8.6.4 No construction works are proposed to take place during the night-time period, without prior written agreement from ABC in exceptional circumstances.

#### Operational Substation Noise

- 8.6.5 Based on the noise emission data provided for each of the noise sources, as detailed in **Table 4.3**, and the noise modelling methodology detailed in **Section 5** of this report, noise level predictions have been undertaken to establish the likely specific sound levels at the nearest NSR to the proposed substation.
- 8.6.6 The specific sound level then has an acoustic character penalty applied as a worst-case assumption in order to determine the sound rating level. The sound rating level is then compared with the typical background sound level during the night-time (worst case) period at the receptor in order to determine the likely impact, depending on context.
- 8.6.7 The results of the modelling indicate that the specific sound level from the substation would be 8 dB L<sub>Aeq,T</sub> at the nearest NSR at night.
- 8.6.8 The sound rating is the sum of the specific sound level, as detailed above, and any required acoustic character corrections. In practice, the penalty applied would range from 0 dB to 6 dB depending on the level of tonality at the receptor. A penalty of 2 dB would apply where a tone is just perceptible at the receptor, 4 dB where the tone

is clearly perceptible, and 6 dB where it is highly perceptible. As such a low plant sound level is predicted, it is unlikely to be audible at the NSR, and therefore no penalty has been applied.

- 8.6.9 The sound rating level is therefore predicted to be, 8 dB  $L_{A,T}$ , inclusive of a 0 dB acoustic character penalty, which is too low for BS4142 assessment (BS4142 assessment methodology is considered to be unreliable when rating level is lower than 20 dB  $L_{A,T}$ ). As such, the assessment level indicates a negligible impact.

### Cumulative impacts

- 8.6.10 It is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts, in terms of noise and vibration associated with the construction phase and the completion of the Development.
- 8.6.11 As discussed in Section 4.2, the maximum number of total vehicle movements per day is less than an increase of 25% of baseline traffic data on A816 and predicted as no significant traffic noise impacts. Given vehicle movements regarding the Associated Development will occur during months 13 and 14, there is no change to the worst-case scenario assessed. For cars/LGVs there will be a cumulative increase of 2.7% during peak construction and an increase in total vehicle movements of 2.9% (assumes 26 day working days per month), which is still much lower than an increase of 25% of baseline traffic data on A816.
- 8.6.12 SSEN Transmission are proposing a similar substation and OHL development in Argyll at Crarae (to the north west of Minard), An Suidhe and Crossaig North. The majority of traffic to An Suidhe and Crarae will use the A83 and these other developments are scoped out of this assessment. The majority of traffic for Crossaig North will be contained south of Lochgilphead on the Kintyre peninsula and this is also scoped out of cumulative assessment.
- 8.6.13 During the operational phase, the operation of the substation is very unlikely to result in significant increase in traffic volume, which will be cumulative with the impacts from other developments. The noise impact, based on the potential traffic noise change, is therefore assessed as negligible.

### Mitigation Measures

- 8.6.14 The assessment indicates that an adverse impact would not be expected. As such, no specific mitigation measures, above those which are embedded as part of the Project (i.e., locating equipment in buildings) are required. It should also be noted that the assessment is based on specification data which is expected to be worst-case, and the actual plant used would be expected to be quieter.

## 8.7 Summary

- 8.7.1 This report has considered the potential noise effects that could arise due to the Project at the closest NSR to the Project. The assessment has taken account of applicable planning policy and current guidance.
- 8.7.2 An assessment of construction noise and vibration from the Project has been undertaken. The results show that as the worst-case construction noise level is below the lower threshold of 65 dB day and 55 dB evening, the potential impact to the nearest NSR is negligible during these periods. Given the long distance from the Project to the nearest NSR, construction vibration activities will not be perceptible (negligible adverse impact).
- 8.7.3 An assessment of noise emissions from the proposed substation has been undertaken based on specification and assumed library noise emission data and a computer-based noise model. The results of this modelling exercise were compared against the existing noise environment on the site in accordance with BS 4142 and against the

requirements of ABC. The assessment indicates that the proposed substation would not cause an adverse impact at nearby receptors.

- 8.7.4 It is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts, in terms of noise and vibration associated with the construction phase and the completion of the Development. During construction, the cumulative worst case traffic movements for a period of three months is lower than an increase of 25% of baseline traffic data on the A816. During the operational phase, the operation of the substation is very unlikely to result in significant increase in traffic volume, which will be cumulative with the impacts from other developments. The noise impact, based on the potential traffic noise change, is therefore assessed as negligible.
- 8.7.5 In light of the findings of the assessment, it is considered that no specific mitigation measures are required above those which are embedded within the Project, namely the requirement for equipment to be located within buildings. However, it is recommended the impact is reassessed as manufacturers' data become available.